

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address (** MMISSE NEW FOR EATENTS POR EACH SON ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS OF THE

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
10 070,050	02 21 2002	Hidekuni Murakami	2711.8	9099
26646	7590 09 29 2003			
KENYON & KENYON			EXAMINER	
ONE BROAD NEW YORK,			JENKINS, DANIEL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 09 29 2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/070,050	MURAKAMI ET AL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniel J. Jenkins	1742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS SOME THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS SOME THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	ON. R 1.136(a) In no event, however, may a rein. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtyeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 February 2003					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
		e annlication					
	4)[·] Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 8-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,5 and 8-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are allowed.							
·							
	6)[·] Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or alaction requirement					
	on Papers	na/or election requirement.					
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10) 🔲 -	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on $_$	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ di	sapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required i	in reply to this Office action.					
12) 🗌 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
а)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Ap	pplication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa ee the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Ů				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	•					
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language scknowledgment is made of a claim for don	e provisional application has be	en received.				
· inform	in to tattspers in the atentonia along the color of the 4- tation Disclosure Statement's 1971, 1449, Paper No		tan di kacamatan di Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Bandaran Kabupatèn Band Kabupatèn Bandaran B				

Application/Control Number: 10/070,050

Art Unit: 1742

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3, drawn to a steel sheet composition with a specified internal structure.

Group II, claim(s) 4, 5 and 8-11, drawn to a method of rolling and heat treating a steel sheet.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

the sheet composition can be formed by a different process forming different BN to AIN and BN particle size limitations that are included in Group I but not in Group II.

- 3. During a telephone conversation with John Kelly on 9/9/03 and followed by an election on 9/17/03 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of I. claims 1-3. Claims 4, 5 and 8-11 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

that of at least one claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,050 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claim 3 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of copending Application No. 10/255,349. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the composition is overlapping and the BN particle size limitation is also overlapping.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

7. Claims 1 and 2 are allowed, the prior art not teaching or suggesting the ration of the BN to AIN.

Art Unit: 1742

.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 703-306-4157. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

> Daniel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

Septermber 17, 2003